

MEMORANDUM FOR: Bob

This is what I passed to Admiral  
Turner last night.

If you have not already done so,  
I believe we should ask NFAC  
to take a forward hand look at  
this and report back to the  
Admiral. Concern?

Keith

Date 29 Dec 81

FORM 101 USE PREVIOUS  
5-75 EDITIONS

GPO : 1981 O - 345-783

cy. of attached provided to  
Rhein Soatens  
12/29/81

28 December 1981

NOTE FOR: Acting DCI

FROM : C/IAS/OPP

SUBJECT : NFAC Analysis [redacted]

25X1

1. Per your request, I queried NFAC regarding the report. As you know, nothing has been written on it. [redacted]

25X1

25X1

2. The analysts responded that:

--We are not aware of any unusual activity in Iran that would trip the Soviets, as referred to in the second sentence.

--Soviet military activity in the Turkestan MD is more likely a response to Afghanistan.

--In the August 80 Soviet exercise postulating an attack on Iran, most military activity was centered in the Transcaucasus MD. Logistic support came from the Turkestan MD. [redacted]

25X1

3. The above explanation seems less than satisfactory, however, in light of the fact that Soviet attention was to be focused on Iran. The only fact that can give us any ease is the first point above--no discernible unusual activity in Iran. [redacted]

25X1

4. If any other thoughts or facts come to light, the watch will include them overnight. [redacted]

25X1

Keith

~~Top Secret~~ [redacted]

25X1

25X1

**Page Denied**

Next 1 Page(s) In Document Denied